

High Tunnel Pepper Production **Horticulture and Armstrong Farms 2007**

Henry G. Taber, professor

Department of Horticulture

Bernard Havlovic, superintendent, Armstrong Research Farm

Nick Howell, superintendent, Horticulture Research Farm

Funded in part by the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture



Introduction

The objective of the trial was to identify sweet bell blocky, pepper varieties with good production and fruit quality characteristics suitable for Iowa's variable and often stressful growing season. A combination of high tunnel and field production planting dates were chosen to maintain a continuous growing season supply and to determine profitability of the high tunnel system.

Materials and Methods

The project was established at the Armstrong Research Farm (southwestern Iowa – a well-drained silt loam soil) and the Horticulture Research Station (central Iowa – a well-drained loam soil). The previous crop at both Armstrong and the Horticulture Station in the high tunnel was tomatoes. Previous crop at the outdoor site on the Armstrong farm was corn and at the Horticulture Station tomatoes. Both sites were fertilized according to soil test recommendations. The cultural system consisted of SRM-olive plastic mulch (wavelength selective) and trickle irrigation. Transplants were set in twin rows, 16-inches apart and in-row spacing of 12 inches on a single plastic row bed. Rows were 4.5 ft on center for high tunnel production and 6-foot on center for field production. Irrigation scheduling was via tensiometers. Pest management practices for field production included necessary herbicide, insecticide, and fungicide applications for top production. A major outbreak of aphids occurred at the Horticulture Station, and to a lesser extent Armstrong, in both the high tunnel and outdoor field planting. Insecticidal soap was

used to keep the population at low levels. There were three replications of each variety at each site in the high tunnel and two replications for the May and June field plantings.

Transplant dates were: Armstrong high tunnel on April 18, and field transplant dates of May 12, and June 8; Horticulture high tunnel on April 16, and field transplant dates of May 12, and June 13.

Yield data consisted of harvesting every 10 to 14 days with the first harvest from the High Tunnel on June 18 at Armstrong and June 25 at the Horticulture Station. Corresponding field harvest dates for May and June plantings were July 24 and August 3 for Armstrong Farm and July 13 and August 17 for the Horticulture Station. Fruit were sorted into marketable and cull (rots, insect damage, severely misshapen, small), and lobe number, fruit size, and fruit length to width ratio determined for marketable fruit. The variety characteristics are listed in the Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Although there were some week to week yield differences among the varieties, overall season production in high tunnels was similar (Table 2). Noticeable differences between the two production sites occurred in yield and fruit shape. Armstrong plants were more vigorous and continued production for two more weeks resulting in 19.2% more fruit, compared to the Horticulture Station (55.2 versus 46.3 boxes/tunnel). Also, fruit shape was more flattened at Armstrong. Fruit characteristics such as lobe number and shape did not change

throughout the harvest period. The exception was fruit size which declined from about 8 ounces to 6.2 ounces at the last harvest (Fig. 1).

We noticed a profound effect of the spring winds on fruit production at both locations. The plants on the outside tunnel wall were shorter and less vigorous than the inside row (Table 3). The row position effect was more severe at the Horticulture Station where the tunnel runs E-W compared to Armstrong which has an N_S orientation. This, and probably other factors, led to yield reduction compared to outdoor mid-

May plantings. Both Armstrong and Horticulture Station produced similar yields from the mid-May field planting, 920 and 962 boxes/acre, respectively. Thus, high tunnel production was only 77.8% and 62.5% of field production, respectively. A major factor to consider is the potential for income. Even though production commenced earlier (36 days at Armstrong) from the high tunnel plantings, income prior to field production was not significant (Table 4). Therefore, this work will be repeated to confirm these findings

Table 1. Bell pepper variety characteristics.

| Variety | Days to Maturity | Disease Resistance ¹ | Comments |
|------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Alliance | 75 | BLS 1235,PMV,PVY | Blocky fruit, multiple disease resistance |
| Aristotle | 72 | BLS 123, PVY,TMV | Smooth shape, blocky, good green or red color |
| Paladin | 72 | Phyt, TMV | Elongated, very large fruit, colored production |
| Patriot | 75 | BLS 1235, PVY | Blocky, thick walls, high yielding |
| Red Knight | 63 | BLS 123, PVY | Blocky, variable yield, good red production |
| Revolution | 75 | Phyt, BLS 1235, CMV | Blocky fruit, multiple disease resistance, vigorous plant |

¹Indicates resistance or tolerance to: BLS= Bacterial leaf spot; PMV= Pepper mottle virus; PVY= Potato virus Y; TMV= Tobacco mosaic virus; Phyt= Phytophthora root rot; CMV= cucumber mosaic virus.

Table 2. Marketable yield, boxes per tunnel, for sweet bell peppers harvested from high tunnels at Armstrong and Horticulture Station locations, 2007. There was no significant difference among varieties at either location.

| Variety | Yield ¹ | Armstrong | | Horticulture Station | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | Fruit Size, oz. | Fruit Ratio ² | Yield | Fruit Size,oz. | Fruit Ratio |
| Alliance | 60.5 | 8.4 | 0.90 | 46.2 | 8.2 | 0.97 |
| Aristotle | 58.2 | 7.2 | 1.00 | 43.6 | 6.9 | 0.96 |
| Paladin | 50.6 | 7.4 | 0.96 | 49.0 | 7.0 | 1.13 |
| Patriot | 57.6 | 7.7 | 0.91 | - ³ | 6.3 | 0.90 |
| Red Knight | 50.3 | 7.5 | 0.91 | 48.9 | 7.8 | 0.93 |
| Revolution | 53.7 | 8.8 | 0.88 | 44.0 | 8.2 | 0.96 |

¹ Yield expressed as boxes/tunnel (1080 plants) and one box weighs 28 lbs. Harvest period at Armstrong was 6 weeks and at Horticulture Station 4 weeks.

² Fruit ratio = length to diameter ratio; 0.95 = very blocky, flattened shape; 1.00 = blocky, length equal to diameter; 1.05= elongated shape with length greater than diameter.

³ - represents only 1 rep, so no yield value presented

Table 3. Seasonal yield of peppers in high tunnel as affected by row location. Values are in lbs/100 plants averaged over three varieties. HortStation = 4weeks of harvest, Armstrong = 6 weeks of harvest.

| <u>Row location</u> | <u>HortStation</u> | <u>% cull</u> | <u>Armstrong</u> |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Outside | 110 | 13.7 | 136 |
| Middle | 134 | 26.3 | 139 |
| Inside | 188 | 14.6 | 165 |

Table 4. Early season harvest advantage for high tunnel pepper plantings. Paladin, an early variety, transplants set April 16 and April 18 at the Horticulture Station and Armstrong, respectively. Box equals 28 pounds and value placed at \$20 each for early season production.

| <u>Location</u> | <u>High tunnel 1st harvest</u> | <u>mid-May 1st harvest</u> | <u>Days</u> | <u>Boxes/tunnel</u> | <u>Gross Income</u> |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| HortStation | 25 June | 13 July | 18 | 45 | \$ 900 |
| Armstrong | 18 June | 24 July | 36 | 61 | \$ 1220 |

-----Earlier, compared to mid-May -----

Fig. 1. Harvest duration effect on fruit size, ounces each, at Armstrong location, 2007. Values averaged across the 6 pepper varieties.

